

From: Robin Costas/ESC/R3/USEPA/US
Sent: 6/14/2012 7:22:22 AM
To: Cynthia Caporale/ESC/R3/USEPA/US@EPA
CC:
Subject: Re: Fw: Dimock RSK-175 results

I agree.
robin

Robin Costas, Chemist
EPA Region 3, OASQA
Ft. Meade, Md 20755
410-305-2659

From: Cynthia Caporale/ESC/R3/USEPA/US
To: Robin Costas/ESC/R3/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 06/13/2012 05:31 PM
Subject: Fw: Dimock RSK-175 results

I don't think I posted the prelims so I don't think I need to notify data user about the change. Would you agree?

Cynthia Caporale, Chief
OASQA Laboratory Branch
U.S. EPA Region III
Environmental Science Center
Fort Meade, MD
(410) 305-2732
Fax: (410) 305-3095

----- Forwarded by Cynthia Caporale/ESC/R3/USEPA/US on 06/13/2012 05:30 PM -----

From: Richard Bauer/R9/USEPA/US
To: Cynthia Caporale/ESC/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Stevie Wilding/ESC/R3/USEPA/US, Robin Costas/ESC/R3/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 06/11/2012 07:37 PM
Subject: Dimock RSK-175 results

Here are the methane, ethane, ethene results for the Dimock samples received in May. Note that there are changes from the preliminary results previously provided to you. The FBs and TBs were re-run due to suspected contamination and, indeed, were clean the second time around.

Also note that the SEDD file is included. I did figure out what the problem was and I can generate the files without the duplicate surrogate entries now. This one should be OK.

Let me know what you would like done about previous SEDD files. It would not be a particularly difficult task to regenerate the SEDD files for the previous submissions, but it will take a fair bit of time and if they are not ever going to be used I would rather not do it.

[attachment "12145b_rsk175.XML" deleted by Cynthia Caporale/ESC/R3/USEPA/US] [attachment "12145b_rsk175.pdf" deleted by Cynthia Caporale/ESC/R3/USEPA/US] [attachment "12145b_rsk175.xls" deleted by Cynthia Caporale/ESC/R3/USEPA/US]

Richard Bauer
U.S. EPA Region 9 Laboratory
1337 South 46th Street, Bldg 201
Richmond, CA 94804

(510) 412-2312
